Social Responsibilities of the Telecommunications Business

Concerning corporate social responsibilities, K. Davis and W. C. Frederick wrote in [1, pp. 41-43]:

"... society has pushed business to respond to its demands. For that reason, the emphasis has shifted toward corporate social responsiveness. In other words, what can business firms actually do to satisfy the insistent social demands?"

What are the social responsibilities of telecommunications corporations such as American Telephone and Telegraph, Korea Telecom Authority, and Nippon Telephone and Telegraph? Are there social issues that are appropriate for telecommunications corporations’ involvement?

In [2, pp. 14-15], a content analysis of telecommunication corporation annual reports
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through computer and telecommunications technologies, hence research and development of such technologies in this direction is one of the primary responsibilities of telecommunications businesses.

- **Employee Concerns (equal opportunity, health and safety, quality of work life)**
  "Job security," "employee participation," and "employee development" should be added to this category [1, p.15].

- **Human Rights Concerns (minority development, urban renewal)**
  "Employee privacy" should be added to this category [1, p.15]. The telecommunications business must protect the privacy and ownership of information, and prevent illegal access to it.

- **Consumerism (product safety, truth in advertising, fair credit practices)**

- **Community Concerns (enhancement of education, health care, the arts, recreation)**

- **Cooperation with Local, State and National Government**
  Consumerism, community concerns and cooperation with government are common to any business.

  One important category is left out of the Smith-Hay categories: "global pressures, demands, and needs" [1, p. 17]. As noted in [1]: "business is a worldwide institution," and the telecommunications business is more so. Telecommunications corporations should do something for the world's poor, for those living "closer to the margin of existence." As noted in [1] "one way to help is to stimulate economic growth in the less developed regions." At the same time, corporations should "...also show a sensitivity to...cultural traditions and social needs" of these regions [1, pp. 17-18].

  Three of the issues raised in the discussion above are uniquely related to the telecommunications business. They are: 1) R&D in telecommunications technologies, 2) privacy protection and information security, and 3) global concerns.

**R&D in Telecommunications Technologies**

"It is technology that has created this dilemma, and yet the only way out of the dilemma is more technology" [3, p. 3]. The primary responsi-

**Privacy Protection and Information Security**

The privacy protection and information security issue has been called the problem of "human goldfish" [3, p.199]. The telecommunications business, whose mission is to store, process and carry information, has a primary responsibility with respect to this issue. The protection of information ownership and privacy against third parties is necessary, whether the third party is government, major institutions, political groups or criminals.

In this area, the development of business and private cryptographic methods and equipment is essential.

**Global Concerns**

Telecommunications technologies link people all over the world. Helping poor people around the globe is a moral imperative for the telecommunications business.

Economically, telecommunications companies should stimulate economic growth in the less developed countries through direct and/or indirect investment, purchase of their goods, and active cooperation with national/international organizations.

Socioculturally, they should respect cultural traditions of other peoples.

They should also realize that they are required to protect foreign peoples as well as their own people from destructive transborder information flow threatening national defense or violating fundamentally different values and cultures.
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