1. Differences among the two concept maps.

Map 5 is pretty simplistic; Map 6 illustrates more pertinent points. May 5 uses color, Map 6 has additional shapes (how **did** you get the diamond shapes?). Map 5 seems more of a review and Map 6 seems more of an overview.

2. Similarities among the two concept maps.

Wow, I think that they are very different! I don't think that we have any of the same boxes, even! Yet, I think that we still seem to "get" the article.

3. Possible approaches to integrate the two concept maps to a better one. For instance, what can you learn from this map to improve your own ones, or vice versa. One part that I had many difficulties with was figuring out **where** to place items. I ended up doing a great deal of deleting and redoing because I couldn't get the arrows to work correctly, or it didn't end up appearing as I would have liked. I also had issues in figuring out how to divide up the benefits, results etc. and I tried to figure out a way to have more than one direction; but I couldn't. I think that this was my main argument against the effectiveness of the research. I wanted to just pencil out as I saw it. I wonder if any of the subject students felt that way! Map 6, I think, goes beyond this issue with multidirectional spokes.